



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/579,872	05/26/2000	Jeffrey Steven Albrecht	00JSA001	9690

7590 06/05/2003

Eugene Moraz Esq
Morgan & Finnegan LLP
345 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10154

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

KAPADIA, MILAN S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3626	

DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/579,872	Applicant(s) ALBRECHT, JEFFREY STEVEN1
	Examiner Milan S Kapadia	Art Unit 3626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Milan S Kapadia. (3) Richard Martinelli (Reg. NO. 52,003).
 (2) Joseph Thomas. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 in particular and all of record in general.

Identification of prior art discussed: Brown (6,161,095).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


JOSEPH THOMAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the differences between the claimed invention and the applied prior art. Specifically the Applicant argued how the "medical logging" step of the claimed invention was different than the medical logging of the applied prior art. The Examiner held that the prior art did teach the recited features by giving the "medical logging" step its broadest reasonable interpretation. The Examiner suggested claim language that would more clearly distinguish claimed invention over the prior art. Examiner noted that while other arguments and/or amendments may be presented in order for Examiner to reconsider the applied prior art of record, any amendment requiring further search and/or consideration will not be entered.